

<b>Evidence gap identifier</b>	NS3
<b>Brief title</b>	Cost-effectiveness of nutritional screening tools in hospitalised adults
<b>Report</b>	<u>Nutrition screening and use of oral nutrition support for adults in the acute care setting</u>
<b>Date identified</b>	20/06/2018
<b>Identified by</b>	CICER
<b>Supported by</b>	Systematic review
<b>Population</b>	Adults
<b>Intervention</b>	Externally validated nutritional screening tool(s)
<b>Comparator</b>	Standard care or another validated nutritional screening tool
<b>Outcome(s)</b>	Incremental cost per quality-adjusted life year (QALY) gained; Incremental cost per life year gained; LYG per Euro spent; Length of stay; average time take to conduct; time taken for dietary assessments and the protocols used; implementation costs; escalation costs; service utilisation costs; direct medical costs; indirect medical costs; education costs and cost savings; savings dues to reduced complications
<b>Setting</b>	Hospital
<b>Study design</b>	Economic evaluation studies including cost-effectiveness, cost-utility, and cost-benefit analysis, costing and comparative resource use studies
<b>Further information</b>	Future health economic studies should be conducted on the use of nutritional screening tools for adults at risk of malnutrition in hospital settings to allow for more robust analysis to inform decision making.